

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA  
ATLANTA DIVISION

DERICK CHESTNUT,

Plaintiff,

CASE NO.:

v.

ALLIED INTERSTATE, LLC and  
SYNCHRONY BANK,

Defendants.

**COMPLAINT**

COMES NOW, Plaintiff, DERICK CHESTNUT, by and through the undersigned counsel, and sues Defendants, ALLIED INTERSTATE, LLC (“ALLIED”), and SYNCHRONY BANK (“SYNCHRONY”), and in support thereof respectfully alleges violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227 *et seq.* (“TCPA”).

**INTRODUCTION**

1. The TCPA was enacted to prevent companies like ALLIED and SYNCHRONY from invading American citizen’s privacy and to prevent abusive “robo-calls.”

2. “The TCPA is designed to protect individual consumers from receiving intrusive and unwanted telephone calls.” *Mims v. Arrow Fin. Servs., LLC*, 132 S. Ct. 740 (2012).

3. “Every call uses some of the phone owner's time and mental energy, both of which are precious.” *Patriotic Veterans v. Zoeller*, No. 16-2059, 2017 U.S. App. LEXIS 47, at \*5-6 (7th Cir. Jan. 3, 2017).

4. “Senator Hollings, the TCPA’s sponsor, described these calls as ‘the scourge of modern civilization, they wake us up in the morning; they interrupt our dinner at night; they force the sick and elderly out of bed; they hound us until we want to rip the telephone out of the wall.’ 137 Cong. Rec. 30, 821 (1991). Senator Hollings presumably intended to give telephone subscribers another option: telling the autodialers to simply stop calling.” *Osorio v. State Farm Bank, F.S.B.*, 746 F. 3d 1242, 1256 (11<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2014).

5. According to the Federal Communications Commission (FCC), “Unwanted calls and texts are the number one complaint to the FCC. There are thousands of complaints to the FCC every month on both telemarketing and robocalls. The FCC received more than 215,000 TCPA complaints in 2014.”

*Fact Sheet: Wheeler Proposal to Protect and Empower Consumers Against*

*Unwanted Robocalls, Texts to Wireless Phones*, Federal Communications Commission, (May 27, 2015).

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

6. This is an action for damages exceeding Seventy-Five Thousand Dollars (\$75,000.00) exclusive of attorney fees and costs.

7. Jurisdiction and venue for purposes of this action are appropriate and conferred by 28 U.S.C. § 1331, Federal Question Jurisdiction, as this action involves violations of the TCPA.

8. Subject matter jurisdiction, federal question jurisdiction, for purposes of this action is appropriate and conferred by 28 U.S.C. § 1331, which provides that the district courts shall have original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States; and this action involves violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii). See *Mims v. Arrow Fin. Servs., LLC*, S.Ct. 740, 748 (2012) and *Osorio v. State Farm Bank, F.S.B.*, 746 F.3d 1242, 1249 (11<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2014).

9. The alleged violations described herein occurred in Clayton County, Georgia. Accordingly, venue is appropriate with this Court under 28

U.S.C. §1391(b)(2), as it is the judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to this action occurred.

### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

10. Plaintiff is a natural person, and citizen of the State of Georgia, residing in Clayton County, Georgia.

11. Plaintiff is an “alleged debtor.”

12. Plaintiff is the “called party.” See *Breslow v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.*, 755 F. 3d 1265 (11<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2014) and *Osorio v. State Farm Bank, F.S.B.*, 746 F.3d 1242 (11<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2014).

13. Defendant, ALLIED INTERSTATE, LLC, is a Minnesota corporation with its principal place of business located at 12755 Highway 55, Suite 300, Plymouth, Minnesota, 55441, and conducting business in the State of Georgia through its registered agent, CT Corporation System, located at 1201 Peachtree Street, NE, Fulton, GA, 30361.

14. Defendant, SYNCHRONY BANK, is a corporation with its principal place of business located at 170 West Election Road, Suite 125, Draper, UT 84020, and conducting business in the state of Georgia.

15. Plaintiff is the subscriber, regular user and carrier of the cellular telephone number (706) \*\*\*-7524, and was the called party and recipient of Defendants' calls.

16. Beginning on or about February 2016, ALLIED and SYNCHRONY began bombarding Plaintiff's cellular telephone (706) \*\*\*-7524 in an attempt to collect on a medical loan.

17. In or about March 2016, Plaintiff revoked his consent to be called by ALLIED and SYNCHRONY by requesting that the calls stop. Specifically stating "Don't call me anymore. I'm disabled and paying what I can." In or about April 2016, Plaintiff asked for a second time for the calls to stop. Each call ALLIED and SYNCHRONY made to Plaintiff's cell phone after the initial revocation was done so without the "expressed permission" of the Plaintiff and in violation of the TCPA.

18. Despite Plaintiff informing Defendants to stop calling, the Defendants' autodialer calls to Plaintiff's cellular phone continued after March 2016. Between June 2016 to July 2016, Plaintiff made a non-exclusive log of sixty-two (62) calls he received from the Defendants, including but not limited to the following calls from the phone number (866) 675-6482: June 14, 2016

(x4); June 16, 2016 (x3); June 17, 2016 (x3); June 18, 2016 (x2); and June 19, 2016 (x4).

19. Plaintiff estimates approximately two hundred (200) calls to his cell phone post-revocation.

20. The autodialer calls from Defendants came from telephone numbers including but not limited to (866) 875-6482, and when that number is called a pre-recorded voice or agent answers and identifies the number as belonging to Allied Interstate, LLC.

21. Defendants knowingly and/or willfully harassed and abused Plaintiff on numerous occasions by calling Plaintiff's cellular telephone number up to six (6) times a day from approximately February 2016 through the filing of this complaint, with such frequency as can reasonably be expected to harass, all in an effort related to collection of the subject account.

22. ALLIED and SYNCHRONY called Plaintiff approximately two hundred (200) times since revocation in March 2016, in an attempt to collect a debt.

23. Upon information and belief, some or all of the calls ALLIED and SYNCHRONY made to Plaintiff's cellular telephone number were made using

an “automatic telephone dialing system” which has the capacity to store or produce telephone numbers to be called, using a random or sequential number generator (including but not limited to a predictive dialer) or an artificial or prerecorded voice; and to dial such numbers as specified by 47 U.S.C § 227(a)(1) (hereinafter “autodialer calls”). Plaintiff will testify that he knew it was an autodialer because of the vast number of calls he received and because he heard a pause when he answered his phone before a voice came on the line and/or he received prerecorded messages from ALLIED and SYNCHRONY.

24. ALLIED and SYNCHRONY has a corporate policy to use an automatic telephone dialing system or a pre-recorded or artificial voice to individuals just as they did to Plaintiff’s cellular telephone in this case.

25. ALLIED and SYNCHRONY has a corporate policy to use an automatic telephone dialing system or a pre-recorded or artificial voice, just as they did to the Plaintiff’s cellular telephone in this case, with no way for the consumer, or ALLIED and SYNCHRONY, to remove the number.

26. ALLIED’s and SYNCHRONY’s corporate policy is structured so as to continue to call individuals like Plaintiff, despite these individuals explaining to ALLIED and SYNCHRONY they do not wish to be called.

27. ALLIED and SYNCHRONY has numerous other federal lawsuits pending against them alleging similar violations as stated in this Complaint.

28. ALLIED and SYNCHRONY has numerous complaints against it across the country asserting that its automatic telephone dialing system continues to call despite being requested to stop.

29. ALLIED and SYNCHRONY has had numerous complaints against it from consumers across the country asking to not be called, however Defendants continue to call these individuals.

30. ALLIED's and SYNCHRONY's corporate policy provided no means for Plaintiff to have Plaintiff's number removed from ALLIED's and SYNCHRONY's call list.

31. ALLIED and SYNCHRONY has a corporate policy to harass and abuse individuals despite actual knowledge the called parties do not wish to be called.

32. Not one of ALLIED's and SYNCHRONY's telephone calls placed to Plaintiff were for "emergency purposes" as specified in 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A).

33. ALLIED and SYNCHRONY willfully and/or knowingly violated the TCPA with respect to Plaintiff.

34. From each and every call placed without express consent by ALLIED and SYNCHRONY to Plaintiff's cell phone, Plaintiff suffered the injury of invasion of privacy and the intrusion upon his right of seclusion.

35. From each and every call without express consent placed by ALLIED and SYNCHRONY to Plaintiff's cell phone, Plaintiff suffered the injury of the occupation of her cellular telephone line and cellular phone by unwelcome calls, making the phone unavailable for legitimate callers or outgoing calls while the phone was ringing from ALLIED's and SYNCHRONY's call.

36. From each and every call placed without express consent by ALLIED to SYNCHRONY to Plaintiff's cell phone, Plaintiff suffered the injury of unnecessary expenditure of his time. For calls he answered, the time he spent on the call was unnecessary as he repeatedly asked for the calls to stop. Even for unanswered calls, Plaintiff had to waste time to unlock the phone and deal with missed call notifications and call logs that reflect the unwanted calls. This also

impaired the usefulness of these features of Plaintiff's cellular phone, which are designed to inform the user of important missed communications.

37. Each and every call placed without express consent by ALLIED and SYNCHRONY to Plaintiff's cell phone was an injury in the form of a nuisance and annoyance to the Plaintiff. For calls that were answered, Plaintiff had to go to the unnecessary trouble of answering them. Even for unanswered calls, Plaintiff had to waste time to unlock the phone and deal with missed call notifications and call logs that reflected the unwanted calls. This also impaired the usefulness of these features of Plaintiff's cellular phone, which are designed to inform the user of important missed communications.

38. Each and every call placed without express consent by ALLIED and SYNCHRONY to Plaintiff's cell phone resulted in the injury of unnecessary expenditure of Plaintiff's cell phone's battery power.

39. Each and every call placed without express consent by ALLIED and SYNCHRONY to Plaintiff's cell phone where a voice message was left which occupied space in Plaintiff's phone or network.

40. Each and every call placed without express consent by ALLIED and SYNCHRONY to Plaintiff's cell phone resulted in the injury of a trespass to Plaintiff's chattel, namely his cellular phone and his cellular phone services.

41. As a result of the answered and unanswered calls described above, Plaintiff suffered an invasion of privacy; Plaintiff was also affected in a personal and individualized way by stress, anxiety, nervousness, embarrassment, distress and aggravation. Due to both answered and unanswered calls, Plaintiff suffered the expenditure of Plaintiff's time, exhaustion of Plaintiff's cellular telephone battery, unavailability of Plaintiff's cellular telephone while ringing, waste of Plaintiff's time, causing risk of personal injury due to distraction and trespass upon Plaintiff's chattels. All of the abovementioned were caused by, and/or directly related to, Defendants' attempts to collect a debt from the Plaintiff through the use of automated/predictive dialing technology.

**COUNT I**  
**(Violation of the TCPA by Allied Interstate, LLC)**

42. Plaintiff fully incorporates and realleges paragraphs one (1) through forty-one (41) as if fully set forth herein.

43. ALLIED willfully violated the TCPA with respect to Plaintiff, specifically for each of the auto-dialer calls made to Plaintiff's cellular

telephone after Plaintiff notified ALLIED that Plaintiff wished for the calls to stop

44. ALLIED repeatedly placed non-emergency telephone calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone using an automatic telephone dialing system or prerecorded or artificial voice without Plaintiff's prior express consent in violation of federal law, including 47 U.S.C § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii).

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff respectfully demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable and judgment against ALLIED INTERSTATE, LLC for statutory damages, punitive damages, actual damages, treble damages, enjoinder from further violations of these parts and any other such relief the court may deem just and proper.

**COUNT II**  
**(Violation of the TCPA by Synchrony Bank)**

45. Plaintiff fully incorporates and realleges paragraphs one (1) through forty-one (41) as if fully set forth herein.

46. SYNCHRONY willfully violated the TCPA with respect to Plaintiff, specifically for each of the auto-dialer calls made to Plaintiff's cellular telephone after Plaintiff notified SYNCHRONY that Plaintiff wished for the calls to stop

47. SYNCHRONY repeatedly placed non-emergency telephone calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone using an automatic telephone dialing system or prerecorded or artificial voice without Plaintiff's prior express consent in violation of federal law, including 47 U.S.C § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii).

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff respectfully demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable and judgment against SYNCHRONY BANK for statutory damages, punitive damages, actual damages, treble damages, enjoinder from further violations of these parts and any other such relief the court may deem just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Octavio Gomez  
Octavio "Tav" Gomez, Esquire  
Georgia Bar #: 617963  
Morgan & Morgan, Tampa, P.A.  
201 North Franklin Street, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Tampa, FL 33602  
Telephone: (813) 223-5505  
Facsimile: (813) 223-5402  
tgomez@forthepeople.com  
fkerney@forthepeople.com  
jkneeland@forthepeople.com  
cfallara@forthepeople.com  
Counsel for Plaintiff